Email from ADC Secretary to the Dispute Parties, 11 March 2024

Dear all,

Whilst <u>strongly reminding both Parties</u> to continue working together to resolve this dispute to avoid a hearing tomorrow, the Hearing Chair has asked me to send out the following statement of legal issues to be determined, under ADR Rule H18(c):

- 1. What are the legal consequences if NR are found to not have consulted GBRf in respect of the proposed possession?
- 2. Is a Network Code D3.3 bid, which must comply with D2.5, legally valid if it doesn't include a Statement of Compatibility?
- 3. What are the legal consequences if GBRf is found to have made a bid for Train Slots that was technically incomplete?
- 4. What are the legal consequences if GBRf is found to have made a bid for Trains Slots that was identified as being for week 52 but the dates supplied related to week 51 (noting Condition D2.5.1(a))?
- 5. Is NR legally bound to grant access if the TOC bid is deficient in, for example, not submitting a valid Summary of Compatibility?
- 6. Is NR legally bound to reject a bid from a TOC which fails to include a valid Statement of Compatibility?

Please can one representative from each Party acknowledge receipt of this email? Please let me know if you have any questions.

Kind regards

ADC Secretary